O2-S1-O1	116.58 (14)	C7—N1—S1	115.1 (2)
O2-S1-N1	110.51 (14)	O120-C12-O121	122.6 (3)
01—S1—N1	109.88 (13)	O120-C12-C11	123.5 (3)
N1-S1-C1	93.07 (12)	0121—C12—C11	113.9 (3)
C7—N1—C8—C9	-101.8 (3)	C10-C11-C12-O120	64.7 (4)
S1-N1-C8-C9	89.2 (3)	C10-C11-C12-O121	-114.4 (3)
Symmetry codes: (i)	1 - x, -y, 1 - z	; (ii) $x - 1$, $1 + y$, $1 + z$; (iii)	i) $x, y, 1+z;$
(iv) $1 - x, 1 - y, 1$	- z.		

In both structures, the phenyl and alkyl H atoms were placed geometrically at the expected positions, riding on the attached C atoms, and refined with isotropic displacement parameters. The other H atoms could not be located.

Data collection: Rigaku AFC-7R software for (I); CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989) for (II). Cell refinement: Rigaku AFC-7R software for (I); SETANG (Enraf-Nonius, 1989) for (II). For both compounds, data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1995); program(s) used to solve structures: SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structures: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993); molecular graphics: TEXSAN; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL93.

The authors acknowledge support from the SERC (studentship for NF) and are grateful to Solvay Interox (and in particular Dr A. James) for the provision of samples and numerous discussions.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates, complete geometry and torsion angles have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: HA1157). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Belitskus, D. & Jeffrey, G. A. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 458-463.
- Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4 Software. Version 5. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Feeder, N. & Jones, W. (1994a). Acta Cryst. C50, 1118–1122.
- Feeder, N. & Jones, W. (1994b). Acta Cryst. C50, 1347–1349.
- Feeder, N. & Jones W. (1996a). Acta Cryst. C52, 913–919.
- Feeder, N. & Jones W. (1996b). Acta Cryst. C52, 919–923.
- Johnson, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Junii, T., Guilan, L., Zhongyuan, Z. & Liu, L. (1989). Jiegou Huaxue (J. Struct. Chem.), 8, 124–127.
- Kim, H. S., Chu, S.-C. & Jeffrey, G. A. (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 896-900.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1995). TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software. Version 1.7-1. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Okaya, Y. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 2257-2264.
- Sax, M., Beurskens, P. & Chu, S. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 252-258.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467–473. Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for the Refinement of
- Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany. Winter, W., Graudums, I. & Frankus, E. (1983). Liebigs Ann. Chem. pp. 2021–2028.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2326–2332

Ammonium Salts of Phosphoglycolic Acid (H₃PG): NH₄.H₂PG, 3NH₄.H₂PG.HPG, 2NH₄.HPG and 3NH₄.PG.H₂O at 150 K

TADEUSZ LIS

Department of Chemistry, University of Wrocław, ul. Joliot-Curie 14, 50-383 Wrocław, Poland. E-mail: tlis@chem.uni. wroc.pl

(Received 15 January 1996; accepted 21 February 1996)

Abstract

The structures have been determined of four ammonium phosphoglycolate (PG) salts: ammonium phosphoglycolate(1–), NH₄.H₂PG (I), triammonium phosphoglycolate(1–) phosphoglycolate(2–), 3NH₄.H₂PG.HPG (II), diammonium phosphoglycolate(2–), 2NH₄.HPG (III) and triammonium phosphoglycolate(3–) monohydrate, 3NH₄.PG.H₂O (IV) (PG = $C_2H_2O_6P^{3-}$). The P—O(ester) bond in the doubly ionized phosphate group of (IV) [1.625 (2) Å] is longer than those in mono-ionized phosphate groups of (I)–(III) [1.580 (2)–1.600 (1) Å]. There are extensive networks of hydrogen bonds in all four crystals in which every O- and N-bonded H atom is involved.

Comment

This work is part of a systematic study of the structures of phosphoglycolate residues in different ionization states and different chemical environments (Lis, 1993, 1995). The triammonium and other phosphoglycolate and phosphoenolpyruvate salts are now the subject of investigations aimed at finding the experimental electron density in organic phosphate esters (Lis & Starynowicz, 1995). The structures of (I), (II), (III) and (IV) are reported here. The same atom-numbering scheme has been used for each phosphoglycolate (PG) residue.

Crystals of (I) are composed of phosphoglycolate H_2PG^- monoanions (Fig. 1) and ammonium cations. As in most PG moieties, the carboxylic hydroxyl group is *trans* to the O2 ester atom (Lis, 1993), although in potassium phosphoglycolate these groups are *cis* to one another. All O- and N-bonded H atoms are utilized in intermolecular hydrogen bonding (Table 2, Fig. 2). The O4 atoms (as donors) form infinite chains with O1ⁱ atoms along **a**. The O6 atoms (as donors) form infinite chains with O1ⁱⁱ atoms hydrogen-bond through carboxylic O5 and phosphate O3 atoms to four symmetry-related PG moieties.

The asymmetric unit of (II) contains three ammonium cations, a phosphoglycolate monoanion [denoted A; Fig. 3(a)] and a phosphoglycolate dianion [denoted B;



Fig. 2. The packing in the ammonium phosphoglycolate crystal (I). Dashed lines show hydrogen bonds.

01A

Fig. 3(b)]. The O6A carboxylic atom forms a mediumstrong hydrogen bond with the O6B carboxyl atom. This contrasts with the structure of tripotassium bis-(phosphoglycolate) (Lis, 1993) in which two phosphoglycolate residues each have a formal negative charge of -1.5 and form strongly hydrogen-bonded dimeric bis(phosphoglycolate) units. Furthermore, the phosphate groups of anions A and B are linked by the phosphate hydroxyl groups to form a polymeric structure (Fig. 4). Three independent NH[‡] cations form hydrogen bonds with phosphate and carboxyl O atoms (Table 4).

The asymmetric unit of (III) contains two crystallographically independent phosphoglycolate dianions (denoted A and B; Fig. 5) and four ammonium cations. The phosphate hydroxyl O1A atoms (as donors) form infinite chains with O6Aⁱ along **b**. Similarly, the O1B atoms form infinite chains with O6Bⁱⁱ. These chains are interlinked by hydrogen bonds formed by four independent NH₄⁺ cations (Fig. 6, Table 6).

The crystals of (IV) are built up of phosphoglycolate trianions (Fig. 7), three crystallographically independent



)03A PIA 02A O5A **O4**A)H4A C1/ C2AHI20A H2A OH6A **O6**A (a) 01BH4B |P2B)02*b* 05B CIB C2B

Fig. 1. The structure and numbering scheme of the phosphoglycolate monoanion in (I). Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

Fig. 3. The molecular structure of phosphoglycolate monoanion A and phosphoglycolate dianion B in (II). (For the disordered atom O5A, only the major component is shown.) Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

(b)

H20B

06B(X)

NH^{\ddagger} cations and water molecules. Details of the hydrogen bonds shown in Fig. 8 are given in Table 8. The carboxylate O5 atom accepts three hydrogen bonds, one from a water molecule and two from ammonium cations. The carboxylate O6 atom accepts two hydrogen bonds.



Fig. 4. The packing in (II).



(a)



Fig. 5. The molecular structure of the two crystallographically independent phosphoglycolate dianions A and B in (III). Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.

The phosphate O1, O4 and O3 atoms accept three, three and two hydrogen bonds, respectively. The water molecule (O7) forms two hydrogen bonds as a donor and one as acceptor.

In this paper the molecular structures of ammonium phosphoglycolates at all levels of protonation have been described. The geometry of the phosphate groups (Table 9) does not depart from that commonly found in other monophosphate organic esters and is similar to that found in phosphoglycolic acid and its salts (Lis, 1993, 1994, 1995). The C-COO atoms of each PG residue are quite close to planar. There is also an evident tendency for the ester O2 atom to lie in this plane, as indicated by the O2-C2-C1-O5 and O2-C2-C1-O6 torsion angles (Table 9). The orientation of the phosphate group relative to the acetate moiety may be described by the conformational angles P-O2-C2-C1 and O-P-O2-C2. The values of these angles in ammonium (Table 9) and other salts (Lis, 1993, 1994, 1995) suggest considerable conformational flexibility



Fig. 6. The packing in (III).



Fig. 7. The structure of the phosphoglycolate trianion in trianmonium phosphoglycolate monohydrate (IV). Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level.



Fig. 8. The packing in (IV).

around the P---O2 and O2---C2 bonds and show that the phosphate group favours the position away from carboxyl group.

The ester P—O2 bond length of 1.625(2) Å in the doubly ionized phosphate group of (IV) is a little longer than the values of 1.580(2)–1.600(1) Å in the mono-ionized phosphate groups of (I)–(III).

Experimental

Colourless crystals of ammonium salts of phosphoglycolic acid were grown by slow concentration of an aqueous solution containing an equimolar ratio of phosphoglycolic acid (Lis, 1993) and NH_4HCO_3 .

Compound (I)

Crystal data

NH4.C ₂ H ₄ O ₆ P ⁻ $M_r = 173.06$ Monoclinic $P2_1/n$ a = 4.495 (4) Å b = 19.982 (12) Å c = 7.822 (4) Å $\beta = 105.47$ (6)° V = 677.1 (8) Å ³ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.698$ (2) Mg m ⁻³ $D_x = 1.665$ Mg m ⁻³	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 81 reflections $\theta = 10-15^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.386$ mm ⁻¹ T = 150 (1) K Needle-like $0.8 \times 0.2 \times 0.15$ mm Colourless
D_m measured by flotation in CCl ₄ /1,2-dibromethane at room temperature	
	D 0.0050
Kuma KM4 computer- controlled four-circle diffractometer $\omega/2\theta$ scans	$R_{int} = 0.0258$ $\theta_{max} = 30^{\circ}$ $h = -6 \rightarrow 6$ $k = 0 \rightarrow 28$

Absorption correction:	<i>l</i> =
none	3 s
2728 measured reflections	
1971 independent reflections	
1619 observed reflections	
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 (R(F) = 0.0274 ($wR(F^2) = 0.0776$ (S = 1.150) 1 1971 reflections (123 parameters (All H-atom parameters (refined ($w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.042P)^2 + 0.27P]$ (where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$) ($W = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + 0.042P)^2$ (W = 0.0000 + 0.00000 + 0.00000 + 0.0000 + 0.00000 + 0.00000 + 0.000000 +

 $l = -11 \rightarrow 10$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 100 reflections intensity decay: 2%

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} = 0.196$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.43 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.39 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ Extinction correction: none Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and 6.1.1.4)

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for (I)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

	х	у	Z	U_{eq}
Р	0.57575(7)	0.37558 (2)	0.42106 (4)	0.01086 (10)
01	0.2609 (2)	0.36937 (5)	0.29221 (12)	0.0160 (2)
O2	0.5101 (3)	0.41285 (5)	0.58775 (12)	0.0157 (2)
O3	0.7429 (3)	0.31112 (5)	0.47619 (12)	0.0155 (2)
O4	0.7763 (3)	0.42557 (5)	0.34553 (14)	0.0187 (2)
O5	0.7880 (3)	0.34151 (5)	0.89264 (14)	0.0233 (3)
O6	1.1341 (3)	0.42318 (6)	0.98173 (14)	0.0229 (3)
C1	0.8922 (3)	0.39599 (7)	0.8723 (2)	0.0153 (3)
C2	0.7579 (4)	0.44243 (7)	0.7188 (2)	0.0197 (3)
N	0.3036(3)	0.25950 (6)	0.6780(2)	0.0170 (3)

Table 2. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °) for (I)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> H	HA	$D \cdots A$	$D = H \cdots A$
04—H4· · · O1 ⁱ	0.73 (4)	1.86(4)	2.582 (2)	170 (4)
O6—H6· · ·O1 ⁱⁱ	0.98 (4)	1.60 (4)	2.577 (2)	174 (4)
N—H11····O3 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.95 (3)	1.85 (3)	2.801 (2)	173 (3)
N—H12· · · O5	0.91 (3)	2.02 (3)	2.880(2)	156 (3)
N—H13···O3 ^{iv}	0.90(3)	1.89 (3)	2.790 (2)	177 (3)
N—H14· · · O5 ^v	0.84 (3)	2.20 (3)	2.997 (2)	158 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i) 1 + x, y, z; (ii) 1 + x, y, 1 + z; (iii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (iv) x - 1, y, z; (v) $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$.

Compound (II)

Crystal data $3NH_4^+.C_2H_3O_6P^{2-}.-$ Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $C_2H_4O_6P^ \lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ $M_r = 363.16$ Cell parameters from 40 reflections Monoclinic $\theta = 10 - 15^{\circ}$ C2/c $\mu = 0.375 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ a = 17.732 (6) Å T = 150 (2) Kb = 9.626 (4) ÅIrregular c = 16.628 (6) Å $0.3 \times 0.3 \times 0.3$ mm $\beta = 93.18 (3)^{\circ}$ V = 2834 (2) Å³ Colourless Z = 8 $D_x = 1.702$ (2) Mg m⁻³ $D_m = 1.665 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ D_m measured by flotation in CCl₄/1,2-dibromethane at room temperature

Data	coll	lection
------	------	---------

Kuma KM4 computer-	$R_{\rm int} = 0.0293$
controlled four-circle	$\theta_{\rm max} = 30^{\circ}$
diffractometer	$h = 0 \rightarrow 15$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$k = 0 \rightarrow 13$
Absorption correction:	$l = -23 \rightarrow 23$
none	3 standard reflections
3369 measured reflections	monitored every 100
3262 independent reflections	reflections
2336 observed reflections	intensity decay: 2%
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = -0.185$
R(F) = 0.0297	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.53 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.0807$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.45 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.071	Extinction correction: none
3262 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
271 parameters	from International Tables
All H-atom parameters	for Crystallography (1992,
refined	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.06P)^2 +$	6.1.1.4)
1.5P]	
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	

Table 3. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for (II)

	U_{eq}	$= (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij}$	$a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j$.	
	x	у	z	U_{eq}
P1A	0.30724 (3)	0.47464 (4)	0.50926 (2)	0.01021 (12
P2B	0.65226 (3)	0.62067 (4)	0.74688 (2)	0.01026 (13
01 <i>A</i>	0.26178 (8)	0.45342 (13)	0.58210(7)	0.0135 (3)
O2A	0.31022 (9)	0.63896 (13)	0.49775 (7)	0.0166 (3)
O3A	0.38590 (8)	0.41646 (14)	0.51448 (7)	0.0151 (3)
04 <i>A</i>	0.26113 (9)	0.41659 (14)	0.43415 (8)	0.0157 (3)
O5A†	0.4464 (4)	0.7715 (11)	0.4900 (3)	0.060 (3)
O51A†	0.4580 (8)	0.7250 (12)	0.4679 (12)	0.012 (5)
06A	0.42020(11)	0.8288 (2)	0.36168 (&)	0.0265 (4)
O1 <i>B</i>	0.60642 (9)	0.49061 (12)	0.74115 (8)	0.0167 (3)
O2 <i>B</i>	0.61020 (9)	0.71744 (13)	0.80673 (8)	0.0176 (3)
O3B	0.66522 (8)	0.69726 (13)	0.67016(7)	0.0150(3)
O4 <i>B</i>	0.73337 (9)	0.59269 (14)	0.78742 (8)	0.0163 (3)
O5B	0.49254 (9)	0.85572 (14)	0.84931 (8)	0.0193 (3)
O6B	0.55373 (9)	1.05722 (13)	0.86077 (8)	0.0186 (3)
CIA	0.40623 (13)	0.7659 (2)	0.42908 (11)	0.0191 (5)
C2A	0.33024 (12)	0.6986 (2)	0.42379 (10)	0.0163 (4)
C1 <i>B</i>	0.54921 (12)	0.9273 (2)	0.84344 (9)	0.0139 (4)
C2B	0.62053 (13)	0.8636 (2)	0.81327(11)	0.0160 (4)
N1	0.49669(11)	0.5606 (2)	0.89036 (9)	0.0149 (5)
N2	0.39028 (12)	0.1309 (2)	0.46957 (10)	0.0157 (4)
N3	0.34012 (11)	0.2466 (2)	0.69334 (9)	0.0147 (4)

† Site occupancies: O5A 0.86(3), O51A 0.14(3).

Table 4. Hydrogen-bonding geometry $(Å, \circ)$ for (II)

$D - H \cdots A$	<i>D</i> H	H···A	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D — $\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
O4A—H4A···O3B ⁱ	0.77 (4)	1.72 (3)	2.483 (2)	176 (5)
O6A—H6A···O6B ⁱⁱ	0.83 (4)	1.78 (4)	2.611 (2)	175 (4)
O4 <i>B</i> —H4 <i>B</i> ···O1A ⁱⁱⁱ	0.73 (4)	1.82 (4)	2.548 (2)	178 (4)
N1—H11···O3A ^{iv}	0.86(3)	2.08 (3)	2.936 (3)	173 (3)
N1—H12···O1 <i>B</i> ⁱⁱⁱ	0.80(3)	2.12 (3)	2.852 (2)	151 (3)
N1—H13· · ·O2B	0.91 (3)	2.28 (3)	2.929 (2)	127 (3)
N1-H13···O5B	0.91 (3)	2.05 (3)	2.922 (2)	159 (3)
N1—H14· · ·O3A ⁱⁱⁱ	0.86(3)	2.07 (3)	2.898 (2)	162 (3)
N2—H21···O6 <i>B</i> ^v	0.89(3)	2.18 (3)	3.023 (2)	157 (3)
N2—H22···O5 <i>B</i> ^{vi}	0.92 (4)	1.86 (4)	2.776 (3)	174 (4)

N2—H23···O3A	0.82 (4)	2.03 (4)	2.851 (2)	177 (3)
N2—H24···O1A ^{vii}	0.91 (4)	1.99 (3)	2.900(3)	175 (3)
N3—H31···O1A	0.82 (4)	2.27 (3)	3.005 (3)	148 (3)
N3—H32···O4B ^{viii}	0.89 (3)	2.05 (3)	2.924 (2)	166 (3)
N3—H33···O1B ⁱⁱⁱ	0.88 (4)	1.86 (4)	2.737 (2)	170 (4)
N3—H34···O6 <i>B</i> ^v	0.94 (3)	1.87 (3)	2.804 (2)	174 (3)
Symmetry codes: (i)	1 - x, 1	-y, 1 - z	; (ii) x, 2 –	$y, z - \frac{1}{2};$
(iii) $1 - x, y, \frac{3}{2} - z;$	(iv) $x, 1 -$	$y, \frac{1}{2} + z;$ (v	(x) 1 - x, y - x	$1, \frac{3}{2} - z;$
(vi) x, $1 - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$; (v	ii) $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2}$	-y, 1-z;	(viii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, y$	$-\frac{1}{2}, z.$

- - - - -

.

Compound (III)

Data collection

 $\omega/2\theta$ scans

none

Kuma KM4 computercontrolled four-circle

Absorption correction:

4238 measured reflections

3331 observed reflections

 $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$

Refinement on F^2 R(F) = 0.0309

 $wR(F^2) = 0.0814$

4076 reflections 288 parameters

0.094*P*]

Refinement

S = 1.103

refined

P1A P2B **01**A

4076 independent reflections

diffractometer

Crystal data $2NH_{4}^{+}C_{2}H_{3}O_{6}P^{2-}$ $M_r = 190.10$ Monoclinic $P2_1/c$ a = 13.202 (5) Åb = 8.072 (3) Å c = 13.792 (5) Å $\beta = 91.12 (3)^{\circ}$ V = 1469.5 (9) Å³ Z = 8 $D_x = 1.719 (2) \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_m = 1.675 \text{ Mg m}^ D_m$ measured by flotation in CCl₄/1,2-dibromethane at room temperature

Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 60 reflections $\theta = 10 - 14^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.367 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 (1) KPrism $0.6 \times 0.6 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$ Colourless

- $R_{\rm int} = 0.0299$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 30^{\circ}$ $h = -18 \rightarrow 1$ $k = -11 \rightarrow 0$ $l = -19 \rightarrow 19$ 3 standard reflections monitored every 100 reflections intensity decay: 3%
- $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.67 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm min}$ = -0.52 e Å⁻³ Extinction correction: SHELXL93 (Sheldrick, 1993) Extinction coefficient: All H-atom parameters 0.0066 (9) Atomic scattering factors $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_{\rho}^2) + (0.064P)^2 +$ from International Tables for Crystallography (1992, where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.221$ 6.1.1.4)

Table 5. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for (III)

$$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$$

x	у	z	U_{eq}
0.12892 (2)	0.58217 (3)	0.35422 (2)	0.00899 (8)
0.63299 (2)	0.43478 (3)	0.39237 (2)	0.00882 (8)
0.10714 (7)	0.46273 (10)	0.44043 (6)	0.0143 (2)

02A	0.14557 (7)	0.75135 (9)	0.41279 (6)	0.0153 (2)
O3A	0.22454 (6)	0.53498 (10)	0.30328 (6)	0.0137 (2)
04A	0.03815 (6)	0.60074 (10)	0.28752 (6)	0.0148 (2)
O5A	0.12970 (8)	1.03038 (10)	0.51455 (6)	0.0193 (2)
O6A	0.16167 (7)	1.18634 (10)	0.38457 (6)	0.0176 (2)
CIA	0.14634 (8)	1.04966 (13)	0.42694 (8)	0.0121 (2)
C2A	0.14595 (9)	0.90263 (13)	0.35890(7)	0.0138 (2)
01 <i>B</i>	0.62072 (7)	0.54885 (10)	0.48199 (6)	0.0148 (2)
O2 <i>B</i>	0.61893 (7)	0.25947 (10)	0.44431 (6)	0.0157 (2)
O3 <i>B</i>	0.54855 (6)	0.45870 (10)	0.31777 (6)	0.0147 (2)
O4 <i>B</i>	0.73569 (6)	0.44717 (10)	0.34856 (6)	0.0161 (2)
O5 <i>B</i>	0.62033 (7)	-0.02348 (10)	0.54154 (6)	0.0157 (2)
O6B	0.62797 (7)	-0.17326 (11)	0.40489 (6)	0.0202 (2)
C1 <i>B</i>	0.62481 (8)	-0.03873 (13)	0.45199 (8)	0.0124 (2)
C2 <i>B</i>	0.62640 (9)	0.11303 (13)	0.38767 (7)	0.0129 (2)
N1	0.08757 (8)	0.73238 (13)	0.61250 (7)	0.0149 (2)
N2	0.59917 (8)	0.26875 (13)	0.65321 (7)	0.0149 (2)
N3	0.36951 (8)	0.27734 (13)	0.32002 (7)	0.0147 (2)
N4	0.85384 (8)	0.74046 (14)	0.33596 (7)	0.0153 (2)

Table 6. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °) for (III)

D—H···A	DH	H···A	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D = \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$	
$O1A - H1A \cdot \cdot \cdot O6A^{i}$	0.90 (3)	1.59 (3)	2.472 (2)	165 (3)	
O1 <i>B</i> —H1 <i>B</i> ···O6 <i>B</i> ⁱⁱ	0.85 (3)	1.66 (3)	2.485 (2)	164 (3)	
N1-HII···O1A ⁱⁱⁱ	0.85 (3)	2.26 (3)	3.090 (2)	163 (2)	
N1-H12···O2A	0.86(3)	2.41 (3)	2.877 (2)	115 (2)	
N1-H12···O5A	0.86 (3)	1.97 (3)	2.820(2)	169 (2)	
N1-H13···O4A ^{IV}	0.92 (3)	1.93 (3)	2.851 (2)	174 (2)	
N1H14···O4 B^{v}	0.91 (3)	1.88 (3)	2.790 (2)	176 (2)	
N2	0.95 (3)	2.06 (3)	2.972 (2)	161 (2)	
N2-H22···O3B ^{vi}	0.87 (3)	2.13 (3)	3.005 (2)	175 (2)	
N2-H23···O2B	0.92 (3)	2.13 (3)	2.899 (2)	140 (2)	
N2-H23···O5B	0.92 (3)	2.05 (3)	2.834 (2)	142 (2)	
N2-H24···O3A ^{v}	0.86 (3)	2.01 (3)	2.869 (2)	172 (2)	
N3-H31···O3A	0.89 (3)	1.98 (3)	2.833 (2)	159 (2)	
N3	0.89 (3)	2.54 (3)	2.993 (2)	112 (2)	
N3—H32···O6B ^{vin}	0.78 (3)	2.53 (3)	3.128 (2)	135 (2)	
N3	0.84 (3)	1.98 (3)	2.802 (2)	167 (2)	
N3-H34···O3B	0.87 (3)	1.92 (3)	2.781 (2)	171 (2)	
N4—H41···O4B	0.83 (3)	2.01 (3)	2.842 (2)	171 (2)	
N4-H42···O3A ^{vii}	0.83 (3)	2.41 (3)	3.215 (2)	162 (2)	
N4—H42···O6A ^{ix}	0.83 (3)	2.58 (3)	3.076 (2)	120 (2)	
N4—H43· · ·O5A ^x	0.84 (3)	1.95 (3)	2.775 (2)	168 (2)	
N4—H44···O4A ^{xi}	0.89 (3)	1.89 (3)	2.775 (2)	178 (2)	
Symmetry codes: (i) $x, y - 1, z$; (ii) $x, 1 + y, z$; (iii) $-x, 1 - y, 1 - z$;					
(iv) $x, \frac{3}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (v) $1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z$; (vi) $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$;					

z: (iv) $x, \frac{1}{2} = y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (v) 1 = x, 1 = y, 1 = z; (ii) $x, \frac{1}{2} = y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (vii) $1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (viii) 1 - x, -y, 1 - z; (ix) $1 - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$; (x) 1 - x, 2 - y, 1 - z; (xi) 1 + x, y, z.

Compound (IV)

Crystal data	
3NH ⁴ .C ₂ H ₂ O ₆ P ³⁻ .H ₂ O $M_r = 225.15$ Monoclinic $P2_1/c$ a = 7.318 (4) Å b = 14.086 (5) Å c = 9.657 (4) Å $\beta = 93.37$ (4)° V = 993.7 (8) Å ³ Z = 4 $D_x = 1.505$ (2) Mg m ⁻³ D_m measured by flotation in CCl ₄ /CHCl ₃ at room temperature	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å Cell parameters from 48 reflections $\theta = 10-12^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.294 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 150 (2) K Columnar $0.4 \times 0.2 \times 0.1 \text{ mm}$ Colourless

Kuma KM4 computer- controlled four-circle	$R_{\rm int} = 0.0238$ $\theta_{\rm max} = 30^{\circ}$
diffractometer	$h = 0 \rightarrow 7$
$\omega/2\theta$ scans	$k = 0 \rightarrow 19$
Absorption correction:	$l = -13 \rightarrow 13$
none	3 standard reflections
2502 measured reflections	monitored every 100
2317 independent reflections	reflections
1517 observed reflections	intensity decay: 2%
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	- •

Refinement

N2 N3

Refinement on F^2	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.122$
R(F) = 0.0335	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.63 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
$wR(F^2) = 0.0843$	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.33 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
S = 1.100	Extinction correction: none
2317 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
182 parameters	from International Tables
All H-atom parameters	for Crystallography (1992,
refined	Vol. C, Tables 4.2.6.8 and
$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.064P)^2]$	6.1.1.4)
where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	

Table 7. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$ for (IV)

$U_{eq} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_j^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$					
x	у	z	Ueq		
0.74878 (7)	0.63400 (3)	0.11147 (4)	0.01067 (12)		
0.7576 (2)	0.57952 (9)	-0.02356 (12)	0.0160 (3)		
0.7584 (2)	0.55095 (9)	0.22841 (11)	0.0175 (3)		
0.9117 (3)	0.69792 (9)	0.14061 (13)	0.0164 (3)		
0.5677 (2)	0.68544 (9)	0.12402 (13)	0.0166 (3)		
0.7755 (3)	0.41485 (9)	0.41832 (12)	0.0172 (3)		
0.7715(3)	0.51713 (10)	0.59413 (12)	0.0233 (4)		
0.6765 (3)	0.26112 (10)	0.57400 (14)	0.0203 (3)		
0.7706 (3)	0.49708 (12)	0.4671 (2)	0.0131 (4)		
0.7633 (4)	0.58147 (12)	0.3694 (2)	0.0192 (4)		
0.5532 (3)	0.63640(13)	-0.2616(2)	0.0176 (4)		
0.2358 (3)	0.59328 (11)	0.1667 (2)	0.0147 (4)		
0.9715(3)	0.34509 (12)	0.1937 (2)	0.0173 (4)		
. ,					

Table 8. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °) for (IV)

D — $\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$	D—H	$\mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{A}$	$D \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D — $H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
07H7···O5	0.82 (4)	1.96 (4)	2.757 (2)	163 (4)
O7—H70· · ·O4 ⁱ	0.77 (4)	1.99 (4)	2.754 (2)	170 (4)
N1-H11···O1	0.75 (3)	2.09 (3)	2.786 (2)	153 (3)
N1—H12···O4 ⁱⁱ	0.83 (3)	1.92 (3)	2.746 (2)	171 (3)
N1-H13···O6 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.98 (3)	1.79 (3)	2.752 (2)	168 (3)
N1-H14···O5 ^{iv}	0.70(4)	2.27 (4)	2.859 (2)	142 (3)
N2-H21···O6 ^v	0.91 (3)	1.90 (3)	2.788 (2)	165 (3)
N2—H22· · ·O1 ^{iv}	0.88 (3)	1.94 (3)	2.801 (2)	164 (3)
N2—H23···O3 ^{vi}	0.83 (4)	2.00 (4)	2.791 (3)	160 (3)
N2—H24· · ·O4	0.88 (4)	1.93 (4)	2.805 (3)	176 (3)
N3—H31· · · O5	0.95 (3)	2.02 (3)	2.845 (2)	143 (3)
N3—H31···O2	0.95 (3)	2.50 (3)	3.319 (2)	144 (3)
N3—H32···O3 ^{vii}	0.95 (3)	1.78 (3)	2.725 (2)	175 (3)
N3H33· · ·O1 ^{viii}	0.84 (4)	2.02 (4)	2.853 (3)	172 (4)
N3—H34···O7 ^{1x}	0.88 (3)	1.94 (3)	2.819 (3)	173 (3)
Symmetry codes:	(i) $1 - x, y -$	$-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}$	z; (ii) $x, \frac{3}{2}$ –	$-y, z - \frac{1}{2};$
(iii) x, y, $z - 1$; (iv) 1	-x, 1-y, -z	; $(v) l - x, l$	-y, 1-z; (v	i) $x - 1, y, \bar{z};$
(vii) $2 - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	– z; (viii) 2 -	-x, 1-y, -	$-z;$ (ix) $x, \frac{1}{2}$ -	$-y, z - \frac{1}{2}.$

Table 9. Selected geometry (Å, °) for phosphoglycolate moieties

	(I)	(IIA)	(IIB)	(IIIA)	(IIIB)	(IV)
P01	1.508 (2)	1.506 (2)	1.493 (2)	1.562(1)	1.552(1)	1.518 (2)
P02	1.596 (2)	1.594 (2)	1.580(2)	1.600(1)	1.599(1)	1.625 (2)
P03	1.496 (2)	1.501 (2)	1.502 (2)	1.506(1)	1.514(1)	1.507 (2)
P04	1.563 (2)	1.558 (2)	1.577 (2)	1.504(1)	1.498(1)	1.521 (2)
02—C2	1.425 (2)	1.420(2)	1.422 (2)	1.430(2)	1.421 (2)	1.426 (2)
05—C1	1.212 (2)	1.207 (3)	1.227 (3)	1.242 (2)	1.244 (2)	1.252 (2)
06-C1	1.309 (2)	1.309 (2)	1.285(2)	1.267 (2)	1.267 (2)	1.259 (2)
C1-C2	1.510(2)	1.493 (3)	1.516(3)	1.513(2)	1.513 (2)	1.517 (2)
01—P—O2	103.9 (1)	104.7 (1)	105.1(1)	99.7 (1)	98.8(1)	103.3 (1)
01—P—O3	115.6(1)	116.1 (1)	117.8 (1)	111.6(1)	112.2(1)	112.9 (1)
01—P—O4	109.4 (1)	108.4(1)	111.4 (1)	111.8(1)	113.0(1)	113.0(1)
O2—P—O3	110.7 (1)	109.9(1)	110.2(1)	110.1 (1)	109.1 (1)	107.4 (1)
02—P04	105.2(1)	106.2(1)	106.4(1)	108.9(1)	110.7 (1)	106.9 (1)
03—P04	111.3 (1)	110.9(1)	105.5(1)	113.9(1)	112.2(1)	112.6(1)
C2—O2—P	120.1 (2)	121.1 (2)	124.6 (2)	117.9(1)	118.7 (1)	116.4 (2)
02—C2—C1	112.8 (2)	113.1 (2)	108.6 (2)	110.3 (1)	110.4 (1)	110.8 (2)
O5-C1-O6	124.7 (2)	124.5 (3)	124.8 (2)	126.2(1)	126.6(1)	125.1 (2)
O5-C1-C2	124.7 (2)	123.9 (3)	120.1 (2)	120.4 (1)	120.2 (1)	119.5 (2)
06-C1-C2	110.5 (2)	111.3 (2)	115.2 (2)	113.3(1)	113.1(1)	115.4 (2)
01—P—02—C2	-164.4(1)	-162.8(2)	-161.6 (2)	-166.5(1)	-178.9(1)	176.5 (2)
O3—P—O2—C2	70.9 (2)	71.8 (2)	-33.8 (2)	76.1(1)	63.8 (1)	57.0 (2)
O4—P—O2—C2	-49.4 (2)	-48.2 (2)	80.2 (2)	-49.4 (1)	-60.1(1)	-64.1 (2)
P-02-C2-C1	-93.4 (2)	-109.0(2)	153.4 (2)	171.3(1)	172.5(1)	179.5 (2)
05-C1-C2-02	-6.0(2)	-1.1(8)	-7.9 (3)	-11.8(2)	-1.9(2)	1.6 (3)
06-C1-C2-02	174.9 (2)	-175.1(2)	172.5 (2)	170.2(1)	177.8 (1)	-178.6 (2

The collection of data at low temperature was carried out using an Oxford Cryosystem cooler for all compounds. In the case of (II), an additional peak near O5A was found on a difference map. It was interpreted in terms of the disordering of this atom over the sites O5A and O51A.

For all compounds, data collection: *KM4 Software* (Kumar Diffraction, 1989); cell refinement: *KM4 Software*; data reduction: *KM4 Software*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS86* (Sheldrick, 1985); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL93* (Sheldrick, 1993); molecular graphics: *ORTEPII* (Johnson, 1976).

Financial support was received from KBN grant No. 2 P303 078 07.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: MU1249). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
- Kuma Diffraction (1989). Kuma KM4 User's Guide. Version 3.1. Kuma Diffraction, Wrocław, Poland.
- Lis, T. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 696-705.
- Lis, T. (1994). Acta Cryst. C50, 181-185.
- Lis, T. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 997-1001.
- Lis, T. & Starynowicz, P. (1995). Abstracts of Polish Chemical Society Meeting, Lublin, S-7 K-2. Zakład Wydawniczo-Poligraficzny Politechniki Lubelskiej, Lublin. (In Polish.)
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. Program for Crystal Structure Refinement. University of Göttingen, Germany.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2332-2334

4-Amino-1-(2-deoxy-β-D-ribofuranosyl)-6,7dihydro-1*H*,5*H*-cyclopentapyrimidine-2-one

Stephen Neidle,^{*a*} Daniel C. Capaldi,^{*b*} Colin B. Reese^{*b*} and Peter D. Roselt^{*b*}

^aCRC Biomolecular Structure Unit, The Institute of Cancer Research, Cotswold Road, Sutton, Surrey SM2 5NG, England, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, King's College London, Strand, London WC2R 2LS, England. E-mail: steve@iris5.icr.ac.uk

(Received 10 November 1995; accepted 21 February 1996)

Abstract

The crystal structure of $C_{12}H_{17}N_3O_4$ has been determined. This modified base is in a *syn* conformation with respect to the deoxyribose sugar, which adopts a distorted C3'/O4'-*endo* pucker.

Comment

The crystal structure of this modified nucleoside, (I), was determined as part of a project to assess the effect of the bulky cyclopentene ring on the conformation of cytosine itself, and ultimately on DNA structures when incorporated into an oligonucleotide. Results from these studies will be reported elsewhere. This is the first reported crystal structure of a cytosine derivative with a cyclic aliphatic group attached at the 5 and 6 positions.